

**Remarks**

Applicant incorporates all of its arguments set forth in the response it filed after final on July 8, 2008 as it fully set forth herein.

Applicant has further amended the claims. Independent claims 1 and 5 now require that the locking device have an outer surface which forms a radial abutment to an internal wall of the cover and against rotation of the cover relative to the locking member. In Aytes neither locking member 44 or 48 have a surface which forms a radial abutment against rotation of the cover relative to the locking device. To the contrary 44 has a threaded outer surface and 48 has a smooth outer surface. Neither surface forms a radial abutment against rotation. For the reasons stated herein a Notice of Allowance should now issue.

Respectfully submitted,

**HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS LLP  
WELSH & KATZ**

October 21, 2008

By: 

James B. Conte  
Reg. No. 54,661  
Attorney for Applicant

120 South Riverside Plaza  
Floor 22  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(312) 655-1500